Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/757,661	MAGID, THOMAS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marissa Thein	3627
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Marissa Thein</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ms. Carolyn Nash.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 August 2005.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	<u>:</u>]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u>□</u> No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Ms. Nash discussed the invention and particuarly discussed claim 1. Examiner recommended to amend her claims to clearly point out her invention and referred her to the Beaurgard patent U.S. Patent No. 5,710,578 in writing her claim.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	<u>Mamaaa</u> Examiner's signa	
, macinioni to a signou Onice action.	Examiner 5 Signa	ature, ii requiled